

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	55-64	Unaf	8	Adams	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	Taxes and value of homes are out of control. First year or so it would change but over time it's not good. The working class still pay way more in the end
M	35-44	Rep	2	Boulder	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	Why on earth would I ever vote for something so the parasites in government can keep more of my property taxes. How about you spend less instead of constantly taxing us more.
F	35-44	Dem	4	Douglas	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	TABOR possibly being reduced.
M	65+	Oth	4	Douglas	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	On its face this survey is in error! I suspect it has been written in such a way to be misleading. Property tax across Colorado was just raised by approximately 40%. The survey represents that monies would be stripped from local governments. (They just got 40%) administratively, possibly in violation of TABOR. Also the senior property tax adjustment is \$200,000 adjustment, not \$100,000. Seems like the survey is being used to misinform the public. If you want to do something, rescind the property tax increases. That would make HH more palatable!
F	45-54	Rep	3	La Plata	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	This law does not benefit homeowners. It gives the state one more slush fund with ambiguous laws for the spending of the money collected.
F	65+	Rep	3	Moffat	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	Retired and fixed income.... You mess with the Tabor money and the next thing you know it will dry up for everyone..... government has to much money and they waste it!
F	45-54	Unaf	7	Park	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	I was initially going to vote yes until receiving this survey. I am a no now.. Seniors who bought a home in past 10 years won't benefit. There should not be a minimum for seniors definitely not 10 years. Also I personally do not appear to benefit or save much in long run. Final concern is the voters will have no say in long run.
F	35-44	Rep	8	Weld	Definitely Yes	Definitely No	I want my tax returns and the saving on property tax isn't equal to what I would lose In state tax refunds

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	18-34	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Definitely No	I don't believe the money will actually be used to help the community. The money will be stolen by local and state officials. HH seems to be a way for officials to slowly revoke TABOR and take more money under the guise of helping homeowners, seniors, homeless and the community. I do not trust this money will go where it is needed the most.
M	18-34	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Definitely No	Whoever wrote this is a scummy money hungry whore of a politician trying to steal more money from the population. The government wastes enough of our money, federal, state AND local, it doesn't matter. Weasels trying to get out of paying back the money WE earned so that they can burn through it with their inefficiency, stupidity and immorality. Our political system has gone to hell. Thank you for the information regarding this slimey proposition.
F	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Definitely No	I don't like the fact government officials have the right yo to keep money that should be given to the taxpayers. Lower property taxes sound good, but I really dont understand the "backfilling".I know that money needs to be made up so why cant the lawmakers take a cut in pay to cover a portion of that? Inmost cases, they are paid to much for what they actually do.
M	45-54	Rep	7	Fremont	Probably Yes	Definitely No	It's a shell game that politicians play.
F	45-54	Unaf	2	Larimer	Probably Yes	Definitely No	Stop the spending. Colorado is horrible about raising taxes, even in excess, and not providing any improvement in quality of life. This state already takes tons of money away from hardworking lower middle class and gives it to people who could contribute to society, but refuse, because of free handouts they can count on, entitlements. California is a failed state of horrors, many of us left California because of that. Stop following their failed policies.
F	65+	Unaf	7	Teller	Probably Yes	Definitely No	The government officials will ultimately decide if the percentage is refunded or kept.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	Colorado is ridiculously expensive, food, homes, the lack of homes, My entire family is native born to Colorado and We are disgusted with how bad this state is especially to reach out goals and dreams. In other words us people in Colorado need those Tabor refunds for ourselves to survive!!
M	45-54	Unaf	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	I see this as another power grab by Polis and the (Communist)DemocRats. They(DemocRats) have been trying to dismantle TABOR for years. Anything that comes out of Polis or his minions is a lie. No thank you, I'll keep my TABOR. FJB!!!
M	55-64	Unaf	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	Another slight of hand by our state government, brought to us by the same folks who tricked the Colorado electorate into voting to repeal Gallagher. Now, they want us to rubber stamp their giving to our left hand SOME of what they will take from our right. Further erodes TABOR. The language is deceitful, making it sound like the state and local governments will be losing revenue. In reality, it's a huge windfall! HH expands an already bloated state government. And surprise, surprise; new handouts to yet another persecuted class; renters. Too bad there was no thought on a solution that would preserve liberty, not grab for more of it. The only reason I may not vote against HH is that I will already have evacuated to the Free State of Florida.
F	65+	Unaf	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	I want all my tabor money
M	65+	Dem	4	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	Common sense !
F	18-34	Rep	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is not good for home owners
M	65+	Rep	8	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	It is too complicated and kills Tabor refunds. Need a simpler approach to fix the property tax spike such as simply reducing the assessment rate.
M	45-54	Oth	7	Adams	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because it's a bad prop from top to bottom

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	18-34	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	I find the language on the ballot to be misleading, biased, and untruthful. I am aware of the lack of strict language and a well formed plan for a proposal of this magnitude and am extremely concerned of the manipulation being enacted upon the populace.
O	18-34	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	"They push forward and we fall back. This far, no further." John Patrick Stewart as Jean Luc Picard in motion picture Star Trek First Contact.
F	35-44	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's a no from me
F	45-54	Unaf	4	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Leave Tabor alone. Our schools have had their chance to fulfill promises with the bonds already passed. Yet school boards continue to fail and line their pockets. Leave our properties alone. And stop creating more bureaucracy!! Your policies are not working.
M	65+	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Funding for schools, fire, etc. should be done separately. I like Tabor as it keeps a limit on how fast Gov't can grow and that's good.
M	65+	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	I would more money by the tabor right now than by passing HH. Go Gallagher
M	18-34	Dem	2	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Not wanting greedy legislators to keep extra money that belongs to the people. If such legislation were to pass, oversight would be needed to prevent such abuses.
F	35-44	Dem	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Unconstitutional
M	65+	Dem	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Deceiving and confusing.
F	35-44	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	I appreciate the thoughts on the senior exemption, especially allowing them to downsize without losing the exemption, however; ultimately the democrat controlled legislature is trying to hoodwink us by dangling these "feel good" issues to distract from the fact that they're messing with TABOR. I had such a large increase in property value that the 50k prop HH would be so "wonderful" and to gift me, isn't even really a drop in the bucket. That decrease isn't worth the TABOR tampering to me.
M	45-54	Rep	8	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	It is temporary and less than the tax refund that I otherwise would have received. Sounds like a scam to get rid of TABOR permanently

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	45-54	Rep	4	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	You can't manage money now
M	55-64	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	I think the language is vague and the start of getting rid of the Tabor law
O	18-34	Oth	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	Democrats trying to eliminate Tabor with a bill disguised as property tax relief.
M	35-44	Oth	6	Arapahoe	Definitely No	Definitely No	TABOR is our money not the states to do with as they see fit. Stop taking our money away!!!
F	35-44	Unaf	8	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	It give the state and county government the authority to keep tax funds without asking the voter.
M	35-44	Unaf	2	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	It appears to be a tax increase that will have little benefit to the residents of Colorado.
							Taxes should be assessed fairly and equitably. In lowering property taxes, this proposition is helping seniors and others who have benefited from massive wealth generation from rising real estate prices. Prop HH will further increase CO home values while disincentivizing seniors living in large multimillion dollar homes from downsizing. I would support the opposite of HH — even higher property tax (progressive tax hitting wealthy) and lower sales taxes (regressive tax hitting low income families) across the state to address growing wealth inequality in CO and support working families. Prop HH will only serve to pad retirement accounts and investment portfolios.
M	35-44	Unaf	2	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	Bait and switch. HH is dishonest.
M	45-54	Unaf	2	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	Bait and switch. HH is dishonest.
F	18-34	Dem	5	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	In ten years time impulse actually be the loser and the state the winner. Only in 2023 would I benefit
F	65+	Rep	2	Boulder	Definitely No	Definitely No	You cannot take money from Tabor. Property taxes are a separate category. Tabor is income based and Property taxes is real estate owned. Once Tabor is reduced more will be taken in future years. How much money does the state need because values have increased?significantly? We do not want to be California!

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	55-64	Unaf	7	Broomfield	Definitely No	Definitely No	Anytime we turn over decision making to government officials they serve their own interests. Too political. And \$50,000 property tax reduction is nothing. I bought my home in 2017 for \$704,000 it is now valued over 1.1 million and my taxes will rise \$300 bucks a month., to reduce it to \$260 doesn't help. I work for the state and got a 5 percent cost of living raise which will not even make up this difference, much less adjust for the rise in all monthly bills this last year has seen.
M	65+	Unaf	7	Chaffee	Definitely No	Definitely No	HH dissolves TABOR as we know it.
M	18-34	Unaf	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	Seems like a back door way for the government to keep the excess tax dollars which they have been trying to do for years. Address the overestimates of home values to lower property taxes. Another great piece of legislation that shows the greed of government. Stealing taxpayer refunds to "help property taxes" seems like a terrible idea.
F	35-44	Unaf	6	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	They already get tax dues and the rising property evaluations are a scam to corner voters into voting in this bullshit. Audit all government, cut government officials pay and benefits..make them use government funded benefits us lower income Americans are forced to use. There is no reason for us to pay more into a system that isn't held accountable for it's spending, into a system swayed by lobbying...jail all politicians and public servants that vote or fund issues they get kick backs from.
M	35-44	Unaf	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's tax relief for wealthy and older Coloradoans. Most people my generation don't own a home and this will increase their tax bill at the expense of people that have an asset that should be taxed. Rents won't decrease because of this bill and those renters will pay the difference. It's not a fair shack.
M	35-44	Unaf	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	Wrong way to fix this

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	As a policy analyst close to the legislative process who usually champions the work of the legislators who sponsored SB 23-303, prop HH is abhorrent policy that is grasping at straws to please everyone and instead makes little to no meaningful impact to those in greatest need. As a renter, I will never forgive proponents of this measure for only granting flat TABOR refunds on the condition that we approve tax cuts for those who are already fortunate enough to own property first.
F	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	People with million dollar homes do not need tax relief sorry
F	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor should not be tied to anything else. It is not okay that it got wrapped up with essential services issues. It is also not okay that renters would be getting money that they did not pay out in the first place.
F	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	This bill would only serve to benefit property owners, and at a very slight margin at that. It is unfair to take TABOR refunds away from everyone just for the sake of those owning property and no one else. In this economy being able to own property in your 20s and in Denver is near impossible at this point.
M	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	Property owners should deal with the reality of rising property value. Many of us renters are faced with this cost directly. Maybe if homeowners faced the same consequences they'd think twice before preventing affordable housing be built
M	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is a tax break for property owners with a few pennies thrown to renters who are more likely to be less wealthy people of color. An incredibly inequitable measure tied to some needed TABOR reform.
M	65+	Dem	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor funds should not be used for this purpose. Other means need to be found.
M	35-44	Rep	1	Denver	Definitely No	Definitely No	The state doesn't need more money. They need to figure out how to do the same or more with the same or less funding. Giving the govt more money rarely fixes any issues, more often than not, it exacerbates them.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	35-44	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because you democrats are always trying to screw with our money, you need to sit in the manure you created. Defund Public Schools!!
F	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because the language is not truthfull. Does not say Tabor would be removed in place of hh.
F	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Leave my TABOR alone!
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Cause the Tabor act is not to be messed with. Politicians hate Tabor...because it keeps government in check. Tabor act is unique to Colorado. Being a longtime resident its great, Tabor act. I vote independent.
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Do not trust Polis
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Reject higher property taxes.
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	The Democrat legislature and Senate procrastinated so long that they didn't have time to craft a good bill to be placed on the ballot. The Tabor refund money should come to each state resident that is here legally, the state legislature and senate wasted an opportunity to truly fix this with proper feedback from citizens of the state.
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Too political. Let voters vote on income tax increase.
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	You should have left Gallagher alone. Tabor moneys are not your to redistribute as you like. It belongs to the tax payer.
M	35-44	Dem	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	I hate the way the legislature is trying to manipulate the TABOR refunds. They need to leave that alone
M	35-44	Dem	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	It is a bad idea
M	18-34	Rep	8	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Don't address the rent being too expensive for people to live here and I'm about to move out of state due to rent being too high
M	45-54	Rep	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Just taking money from what is already ours and eliminating tabor in the future

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	45-54	Rep	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is "taking a dollar out of my wallet and putting a dime in my pocket."It's the taxpayers money. Period. It does not address the root cause of the problem: artificially inflated housing market in Colorado. Simple solution: keep the assessed rates at 2020 levels for 5 years, then reassess. Keep TABOR intact and keep our property taxes low.
M	55-64	Rep	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's a back-door repeal of TABOR. Without TABOR our state would be in a huge financial bind because both parties always overspend
M	65+	Rep	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Bureaucratic language to confuse and hide an agenda to disregard TABOR and to increase a pot of money they didn't work to earn for an agenda the majority of voters don't want.
M	35-44	Oth	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because you should have come up with something better than those 3 days at the end of session to come up with a something the tax payers would want. Instead you through garbage in our faces!
M	45-54	Oth	5	Douglas	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because F Polis and F democrats
M	35-44	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's designed to let government officials alter it in order to funnel more money from the taxpayers over time. Less government, not more control over our lives.
M	45-54	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is my tax money number 1 the fact they already steal enough money from each of us to fund this loser governor and his desire to turn this state into a trash state like California is disgusting and revolting to me, I am a native and liberals have destroyed everything that was great in this state with their wasteful spending and you want me to pay for people who cannot afford their house payments or rent? Not my problem I pay my bills as well they should or they shouldn't be owning a property

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	55-64	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	After getting a bill for 150% increase in my property tax, there is no trust in government and to use those additional revenues properly. Just put back in the limit on the increase in property taxes from the 2019 law that was removed and reset taxes back to 2020 levels and start again. Show balanced budgets and how new funds would be used. Doubling property taxes on helps greedy government grow and spend money without audit
M	55-64	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	TABOR works fine as is
F	65+	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	I do not trust the government to spend what should be my TABOR refund. The state lives way outside it's means while expecting my family to live within its means. Typical politicians, do as I say not as I do.
M	65+	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Anything the politicians are for is going to screw the rest of us.
M	65+	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because I don't like the idea of messing around with tabor. I believe the whole intent of Prop. HH is to weaken tabor for it's eventual demise.
M	65+	Unaf	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	I want assessments reduced rather than using Tabor to offset a small part of the increase
M	45-54	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is yet another veiled money grab and wealth redistribution attempt by the sick liberals infecting Colorado.
O	45-54	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	This removes vital Tabor provisions not mentioned in this proposal and it expands government taxation when government should be addressing these shortfalls by other means. It also does not address many issues regarding senior property taxes. Seniors today are being penalized by requiring 10 years in their current home. Length of time in the home for seniors should be shortened. Additionally you have not addressed homestead exemption either with this proposal. You need to find a more suitable solution. Prop HH doesn't do enough to address the rising property tax issues.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	55-64	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	All of the language in the proposition is a bait and switch, allowing government entities to take away from tax payers... again
M	55-64	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's a ploy for wealth distribution
F	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Bad idea
F	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Just a trick to get rid of Tabor- and everyone with a brain knows it!
F	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Smoke and mirrors
F	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	We lose our taber
M	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Keep the government's hands off TABOR.
F	55-64	Oth	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	This is just a shuffle of working to make it look like the government is saving us money that in the end will cost the working poor more to support the lazy class that doesn't want to work.
O	55-64	Oth	4	El Paso	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because you telling use the whole truth. It is very sneaky and undermines our intelligence when you try to twist it. You also take it from services in the community with no guarantee.
F	45-54	Unaf	7	Fremont	Definitely No	Definitely No	Government needs to be smaller, there are funds that are unnecessary
F	55-64	Rep	7	Fremont	Definitely No	Definitely No	If the democrats thought it up, the taxpayers will lose. They spend on too much that I can't agree with. Crooks
M	55-64	Rep	3	Fremont	Definitely No	Definitely No	You lie !!
M	65+	Unaf	3	Garfield	Definitely No	Definitely No	I helped pass the referendum for tabor,I don,t want to see it changed
O	18-34	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	This will no way incentivize landlords from lowering or not increasing rents. It greatly underestimates these companies and their need to increase profit margins. Landlords and real-estate owners will simply make more money off their renters.
F	65+	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	Since you are trying to push this so hard, it is starting to smell. Since I believe I am not respected in our state and federal government it does not matter what I say a Democrat run state will tax the hell out of it's residents. That is what is happening in Colorado.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	65+	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	The ballot language should list the entities that receive the most money 1st to last. It should also say the state and local politicians can change the pay structure when they want. Taking the vote away from the voters.
M	18-34	Dem	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	Prop 13 ruined California. Also, suburban areas are expensive to maintain and are subsidized by urban areas. We suburbanites should pay for our own services.
F	55-64	Dem	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	It sounds like a terrible idea and barely makes a difference. Seems like another way to harm govt ability to function by by pretending it's to help people.
F	65+	Rep	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	Voters need to remain in control of what Govt does with their Tax payments. TABOR is the only way. Tax Payer Bill Of Rights. It is obvious that this survey was written by pro Democrat, Pro HH individuals because you never spelled out the meaning of TABOR. Maybe If you had clarified how the money would be distributed to school districts I could have decided possibly to vote yes on HH but you would have had to have a hefty amount go to vouchers for school choice which I cannot see you doing. I do not like the direction the schools are going and don't want to fund them anymore! My idea is less public schools for those who want to ruin their children and more vouchers for those who want to still parent including educate their children. The less you guys have my money and know what you want to do with it the better. Because I just have different values then you have proven to have. The last thing I would want is for my children or grandchildren to be in schools right now. You've done it to yourself. Turning everything into some sort of social indoctrination. Bad move. So no, I will vote no on HH.
M	65+	Rep	6	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	Polis should be impeached for this proposal.
M	35-44	Oth	7	Jefferson	Definitely No	Definitely No	Abolish state income tax.
M	55-64	Rep	2	Kiowa	Definitely No	Definitely No	Big government good

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	35-44	Unaf	8	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Rich people getting tax cuts doesn't help people who rent apartments. While the rental assistance sounds nice, it never actually reaches the majority of people that need it without massive hoops having to be jumped through when they have no time to do that. This seems to be a way to get more Tabor for the government and the benefits are only going to people that can afford homes and own businesses. That's not me
M	35-44	Unaf	2	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor refund is in excess of reduction of property taxes so it seems the system is working. I support the senior exemption and the rent relief but inflation is already too high and additional taxes are not the answer.
F	55-64	Unaf	4	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	The state can't handle what it already has. They miss use our money every year. And this seems like a scam to get around tabor.
M	35-44	Dem	4	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Home owners don't need another break on their taxes. We need affordable housing and rent control now!
F	55-64	Rep	2	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	I don't trust the estimates and I want my property taxes to stay within my community.
F	55-64	Rep	2	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's fraud. This is a way for the state to take away TABOR from us.
F	55-64	Rep	4	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Leave TABOR alone. It's just a way to eventually end TABOR
F	55-64	Rep	4	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor is the best thing that happened in our state. Stealing our Tabor money from our pocket to 'reduce our crazy high new property taxes caused by poor state management is not a solution.
M	55-64	Rep	4	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Very minimal savings on property taxes. Boudin cities and counties would still just increase property tax valuations because the state is taking more of their money not a very well well thought out proposition in my opinion and still the more money you make the more you're going to get back in Tabor refund
F	65+	Rep	6	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Greetings, This Prop HH is a disguise to eliminate Taxpayer Bill of Rights. Happy trails

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Rep	8	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Politicians lie. Once tabor is gone it won't be back and no more refund to the people even with surplus. Save tabor!
M	18-34	Oth	2	Larimer	Definitely No	Definitely No	Prop HH is to reduce the taxable burden for property owners. As a renter and aspiring home owner, this legislation is a giveaway that reduces community services without any plan to make living in Fort Collins. By the tone of this survey, I can tell you hope to sway people to vote fore this. I will do the opposite in person.
F	55-64	Unaf	3	Mesa	Definitely No	Definitely No	The government makes promises but rarely keeps them. If we get a tabor refund I will decide where to spend it, I.e. my property tax increase or other places. I also don't want to subsidize a million dollar homes tax increase when I choose to live in a more moderate house that I can easily afford the tax increases on.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
							The property tax issue is because Colorado has done a very poor job of keeping it's housing market competitive. We have failed, utterly failed, at preserving a place for those who work in Colorado to live here. This HH is another bandaid and what needs to be addressed is the lack of housing due to nimby and due to development wanting their cash out in 14 months or less. Limiting property taxes failed in California, so why bother? Let's mess around with incentives to drive investor money out of our real estate market. We have wall street firma buying up batches of homes at a time, driving artificial scarcity which drives up costs for new home purchases. How does HH impact that? By giving them less property tax to pay? What if we stopped mucking about with desperate attempts to keep investors happy and the working class housed, and just restructure Colorado property taxes so communities can stop being devoured? Work locally and live locally= one level of taxes. Retired and 1 home = one level of taxes. Second home? Higher taxes. Third home? Even higher taxes. By 4th home, all those wall street folks would be looking to sell. What about vacation rentals? Vacation rentals are devastating to local housing needs in more than one way. 1. They immediately displace a local. 2. The revenue they earn becomes a permanent piece of determining the home's value, which drives up housing costs for the whole neighborhood. 3. It encourages house hoarding, which ties up vital community assets for long periods of time. HH is a hail Mary stab at correcting a very imbalanced investing market. We don't need more hail Marys, we need a sustainable system for keeping locals housed.
F	45-54	Dem	3	Mesa	Definitely No	Definitely No	
F	65+	Rep	1	Mesa	Definitely No	Definitely No	Do not mess with TABOR

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Rep	3	Mesa	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor was put in place to help control government spending. It's been doing a good job controlling government spending and expansion. I will not support any work around, especially those that allow the government the implement changes at will going forward. The excessive increase in property taxes can be easily addressed by adjusting the mil levies. This would prevent the windfall and be fair to all property owners and by extension all renters.
M	55-64	Rep	8	Montrose	Definitely No	Definitely No	There are to many ways the state government can change their actions. Leaving Tabor alone and out of any other conversation is best.
M	55-64	Rep	3	Montrose	Definitely No	Definitely No	This cuts taxes on the rich who own multimillion dollar homes!
F	55-64	Unaf	4	Morgan	Definitely No	Definitely No	Because I am certain that the property taxes would be raised in a couple years. I have seen it done time and again. They will take more.
M	35-44	Unaf	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	Simple. I don't trust local, or state government officials to "better use" my tax money more than I trust myself. The proponents of Prop HH should have more respect in voters to "let" them do what they want with their own tax money. Lastly, why on God's green earth would I vote to take power out of my own hands and place them in elected officials if given the opportunity? The Tabor relief checks have been a Godsend. Thank you Doug Bruce!
M	45-54	Unaf	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	It's a power grab as usual. More wasted funds. More pet projects for the evil bastards in the dem party to keep on destroying everything good. The evil use the power and every inch given results in more miles taken.
O	45-54	Unaf	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	There are thing in the HH I don't like I don't have the bill in front me but your move too many thing around for it
M	65+	Rep	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	All efforts to reduce/eliminate TABOR should be rejected.
M	35-44	Oth	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	There is very little benefit for us to approve this measure, moreover it would cause more hardships for the people of Colorado.
F	45-54	Oth	3	Pueblo	Definitely No	Definitely No	I pay taxes I want a refund

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	45-54	Unaf	2	Summit	Definitely No	Definitely No	Tabor is a great idea and this undercuts it and puts the power in the hands of legislature is in an unacceptable way. Tabler should remain.
M	35-44	Rep	2	Summit	Definitely No	Definitely No	Stop trying to repeal or undermine TABOR. Colorado Dems need to be voted out of office to save the state.
F	65+	Rep	7	Teller	Definitely No	Definitely No	Polis has been trying to take TABOR away from taxpayers since he became governor. His spending has gone unchecked for many years. It's in his power to pass laws to keep our property taxes in check, I stead we have had two increases in the last two years. Too much PORK in this prop HH not revealed until it's too late. Being on a fixed income we are already feeling the strain of making our monthly budget and the price of everything continues to increase. No summer trips for us this years as we try to keep up with the demand on our finances.
F	45-54	Unaf	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	The state does not have the right to take our tabor
M	45-54	Unaf	4	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	HH is an attempt by government to seize more revenue. Colorado needs to reduce all taxes and spend less money if the State is to compete with States like Florida, Texas and Tennessee
F	55-64	Unaf	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	The state wastes money. Free, free, free and now can't get people to work. Stop giving away the bank. Teach people fiscal responsibility. The state needs to find areas to be more efficient and live within the budget. The state already gets lotto, oil and gas tax, marijuana tax, gambling tax. Regardless of how much the state gets, it will never be enough!
F	65+	Unaf	4	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	Colorado should keep Tabor
M	65+	Unaf	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	Since we passed TABOR various government offices have tried to get control of our money. This is more complicated to make it attractive but still just an attempt to defeat TABOR.
F	18-34	Dem	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	If you want lower property taxes, build more houses and reduce the value of your home.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	18-34	Dem	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	I'm against TABOR in the first place. Reducing taxes in any area, while TABOR is in effect, can only harm people and government services in the long run. We have so many social programs to fund that we continue to vote in favor of adding without considering how they will be funded through tax dollars when we're also continually lowering taxes whenever possible. We have to choose one or the other and I would choose to fund the social programs and education sector at any cost. So no, I don't support reductions of property taxes when we already have some of the lowest rates in the nation.
M	45-54	Dem	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	Impact on services.
F	35-44	Rep	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	Confusing language meant to mislead people into voting for yet another deceptive measure that would take our money and funnel it into things with no accountability.
F	45-54	Rep	8	Weld	Definitely No	Definitely No	I pay enough in taxes I want my full tabor amount.
M	35-44	Unaf	7	Adams	Probably No	Definitely No	To much is able to change without voter consent after the fact. Giving currently legislators more unchecked spending when a just saw a report saying Denver is in the bottom. 10 of cities ran in city planning/building.
M	65+	Dem	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Definitely No	Your \$400-\$500 property tax reduction means nothing when compared to my new \$10,000 tax bill, which more than doubled in the past two years. Prop HH takes money from one pocket and puts it into another; the net effect on me is zero. And you retain the authority to screw with it even more down the road. This is a bad bill. No thanks.
O	35-44	Unaf	2	Boulder	Probably No	Definitely No	Stop trying to repeal TABOR. Focus on reducing state expenditures, and simply lower taxes.
M	45-54	Rep	1	Boulder	Probably No	Definitely No	A mix of good and bad government as usual.
M	18-34	Dem	1	Denver	Probably No	Definitely No	Wealthy homes getting tax break...why?

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	18-34	Oth	1	Denver	Probably No	Definitely No	I don't agree with lowering property taxes they are already lower than most states and it's about the only way for highly wealthy folks and businesses to pay state taxes.
F	45-54	Unaf	4	Douglas	Probably No	Definitely No	We already pay too much in property taxes. I do not want to pay any more of my hard earned money
M	45-54	Unaf	4	Douglas	Probably No	Definitely No	It's an end run for the state to keep our tax refunds and reverse tabor.
M	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Probably No	Definitely No	You screwed over the citizens by getting ride of Gallagher
M	35-44	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably No	Definitely No	It does EVEN MORE to protect and ease the tax burden of property owners at the expense of tax payers who rent. Stop doing everything possible to help entrenched property owners and focus on supply side solutions for runaway property values. More homes = more property taxes and lower rents and mortgages for all. This measure is only needed due to the dysfunction of the real estate market that is largely caused by low housing supply which increases housing prices to the point families are struggling to pay their taxes on the artificially inflated value.
M	55-64	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably No	Definitely No	The proposition is more of a tool to put the surplus of funds into the hands of the politicians. Not back to the people. And there's no need for it. Just keep 1% of the generated tax revenue and refund the rest back to the people. Schools fire water ambulance they'll get more funding that way than if this proposition HH is passed. The greatest amount of good for everybody involved is that this proposition gets rejected.
M	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably No	Definitely No	Political tricks to overcome Tabor. Greedy politicians using tax reduction to mask a Tabor infringement. Dishonest crooks...but smart
M	55-64	Unaf	1	Garfield	Probably No	Definitely No	Tabor was voted in to keep our elected official in check on spending with spending limits HH is allowing them to go around the law to raise our taxes

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Definitely No	The wealthiest homeowners and/or people who need the tax break the least would be benefiting the most. Even as someone who fits that description, an extra \$500 a year is not going to impact my life in a meaningful way when compared to taking away dollars from local public services that benefit all.
M	45-54	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Definitely No	Giving governments more money to spend on wasteful programs such as DEI and other progressive initiatives is always a bad idea. HH would steal money from the working class to help the welfare system
F	55-64	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Definitely No	Elected officials can't govern properly as it is, giving them the ability to shuffle the deck isn't going to improve their ability to lead or spend wisely. Reverse what was passed in 2020, go back to old system of assessing property tax.
F	55-64	Dem	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Definitely No	Gives Governments ability to raise taxes. My faith in governments is pretty poor right now. I don't believe they are looking out for people. Why don't you instead vote for legislators governors, etc to receive pay cuts.
F	18-34	Unaf	2	Larimer	Probably No	Definitely No	As a millennial renter who does not qualify for rent assistance but also has no hope of owning a home in this state due to greedy landlords owning more properties than they need, I can't see any reason to vote yes. Homeowners don't deserve tax breaks at the expense of those of us who don't get to be. As for seniors it's sort of the same sentiment. Why would I vote to make their housing taxes lower when their generation is responsible for the housing situation we're currently in? Make a proposition that helps those of us paying out landlords' mortgages and make them get real jobs.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Unaf	4	Larimer	Probably No	Definitely No	There is too much twisting of TABOR. Fix the TABOR law!! In addition, I don't support property tax refunds based on income. Property taxes are assessed based on property value but you're proposing refunds based on income. For me that doesn't compute. The refunds should be proportional to what you paid. The only portion of HH I agree with is allowing seniors to keep their exemption once they reach 10 years regardless of whether they move to a different home.
F	55-64	Rep	4	Larimer	Probably No	Definitely No	I support the Taber amendment because it gives control to the people not the government. We the people should still be able to decide on the amount of money given to support each entity.
M	55-64	Rep	4	Larimer	Probably No	Definitely No	No more tax increases period! Government needs to stick to budget and reduce unnecessary government spending of taxpayer dollars! Eliminate politician "pet" projects! Reduce spending!
F	35-44	Unaf	3	Mesa	Probably No	Definitely No	As a social worker, it is important to me that people who are working class, impoverished, and renters have tax relief under TABOR. The property tax decrease will positively affect my family and I next year when we own. But reading the language that the bill can be changed, like how \$20mil allocated to renters in need is statutory, so it can be changed after we vote on it? No thanks. Also, the fact that elected officials can vote on not returning TABOR funds to voters, and we voters do not get a say? Hell no. This is shady, like a wolf in sheep's clothing. I vote no.
M	35-44	Unaf	2	Mesa	Probably No	Definitely No	Prop HH pretty clearly would destroy TABOR, allowing future legislation that does not need voters to approve changes. That seems to be the real intent. In addition all the people that have properties that are so valuable should have no problems pay their own property taxes and not have more of the tax burden being taken by renters. If property taxes are a problem then work towards getting rid of them altogether, but don't touch TABOR.
F	65+	Unaf	3	Pueblo	Probably No	Definitely No	I would like my refund-not a homeowner but a poor senior!

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	45-54	Dem	3	Rio Blanco	Probably No	Definitely No	We work and get taxed, we work and get taxed spending our money, we get taxed for gas, food, everything we do. The government does nothing but waste the taxes they steal from us
O	35-44	Unaf	3	Rio Grande	Probably No	Definitely No	Can't rob Peter to pay Paul can't take money from my pocketbook and put it in my hand and tell me I am better off
M	65+	Rep	8	Weld	Probably No	Definitely No	Local government can't be trusted to give us our taxes back!
M	45-54	Dem	7	Adams	Undecided	Definitely No	Sounds like some bullshit written by a Republican to fuck over school districts and first responders. Plus people won't even save a lot of money, and the Republicans get to change it when ever they want to.
F	35-44	Rep	8	Adams	Undecided	Definitely No	Minor tax relief and gives more power to local governments
F	55-64	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Undecided	Definitely No	Needs more clear language and examples of different scenarios should it approve or not. Should also be broken down into at least two initiatives so it's more understandable to general public. Should also explain increased rev comes from TABOR and explain what tabor is and how that money is given back. Should also include what govt is doing to curtail its wasteful spending so increases not as necessary.
O	18-34	Dem	5	El Paso	Undecided	Definitely No	Table should not be messed with
M	35-44	Rep	3	Garfield	Undecided	Definitely No	Allows govt to have to much control of taxes and it's use.
F	18-34	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Undecided	Definitely No	I feel less and less sorry for people that own homes because the dream of being one of them slips further and further away from me.
F	45-54	Rep	6	Jefferson	Undecided	Definitely No	This is just another liberal monet grab being disguised as property tax relief. In reality, it creates very little actual tax relief and lets them reappropriate funds to their pet projects.
M	45-54	Rep	7	Jefferson	Undecided	Definitely No	Our government has given me little faith that they will spend our tax dollars appropriately. Keeping a tabor and refunding money back to the people will improve our economy much more than government programs.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	35-44	Oth	7	Jefferson	Undecided	Definitely No	This is a bait and switch with my property tax and tabor refund allocations- worse yet it goes back to expensive property taxes and no tabor refunds in less than a few years. You tried to hide it by enhancing minimal monetary gain the first year but in all reality this plan doesn't hold and isn't a plan for the future.
F	65+	Unaf	3	Las Animas	Undecided	Definitely No	The way it is written, it is dealsigned to hide the fact that once again is trying to reject the Tabor act.
F	35-44	Unaf	7	Park	Undecided	Definitely No	Taxation is theft
M	45-54	Rep	3	Pueblo	Undecided	Definitely No	Our government needs to get spending under control. TABOR was designed to limit the spending to make our government more responsible.
M	35-44	Rep	8	Adams	Definitely Yes	Probably No	Government takes enough of my money. They should figure out how to manage money instead taking more and more
F	55-64	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Definitely Yes	Probably No	Because I don't trust the current government officials
M	55-64	Unaf	2	Eagle	Definitely Yes	Probably No	More taxation is not the answer to anything. Rising property values happened as a result of the migration during the pandemic. I turn 65 next year and face the reality of having to sell my house and move away die to rising property taxes.
F	65+	Dem	2	Larimer	Definitely Yes	Probably No	Hard to decide with this format
M	55-64	Rep	2	Larimer	Definitely Yes	Probably No	No new taxes. We're broke as it is already.
M	55-64	Unaf	4	Weld	Definitely Yes	Probably No	Because it will allow local government to keep some of your money and to be able to make changes to the guidelines without voter decision.
M	18-34	Unaf	8	Adams	Probably Yes	Probably No	I don't like the idea of increasing CO tax ceiling and having elected officials deciding on retention of tax revenue

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	18-34	Rep	7	Adams	Probably Yes	Probably No	I don't think taking more money from us would help. Because we still hardly get anything back and the things that would help renters are only for people who hardly work at all so people who work hard still don't qualify and they are the ones who need the most help and are the most silenced community. I would rather look at new ideas for how to cap and or lower the market of renting and buying.
M	35-44	Oth	2	Adams	Probably Yes	Probably No	The government already spends way too much money and the bill has loopholes that will be used to retain and use the supposed property tax savings.
F	55-64	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Probably Yes	Probably No	I am already concerned about the amount of money schools lose due to Tabor. Reducing property taxes doesn't sound like it's in the best interest of our public schools.
M	65+	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Probably Yes	Probably No	I don't trust our government
M	45-54	Dem	2	Boulder	Probably Yes	Probably No	Taking away the vote from the people and giving it to the elected officials has proven not to work or well for the poor.
M	18-34	Unaf	7	Broomfield	Probably Yes	Probably No	You are taking money from The people when the government has already misused or "lost" funds.
F	35-44	Unaf	1	Denver	Probably Yes	Probably No	Do not agree
M	55-64	Unaf	4	Douglas	Probably Yes	Probably No	I don't trust or believe the government will do as it allegedly promises. It just does not happen.
F	65+	Unaf	4	Douglas	Probably Yes	Probably No	There are still too many unanswered questions. Like where are you starting the floor base. The new numbers for assessment or the old assessments?
M	65+	Rep	4	Douglas	Probably Yes	Probably No	It's a shell game with the state rigging it to their favor. LOWER PROPERTY TAXES!
F	18-34	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Probably No	Would prefer to know that our local services and school districts are getting the funds they need rather than being capped off or at the bottom of a list to receive items.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Probably No	Don't need more taxes being spent to support low income housing; not in support of more elected govt officials making decisions; it should go back to the people to vote on
F	35-44	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Probably No	I am not okay with some of the results of the Proposition
F	55-64	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably Yes	Probably No	The ability for the Legislature and Local officials to change the statute after approval is not the intent of the proposition and is subject to the whims of the political part in charge. Overall state and local taxes have doubled in the last two years plus the added fee of EE PFML plan. Now property taxes have also doubled in two years. Not to forget the added \$.40 extra gas tax. Isn't enough enough? Stop spending money hand over fist! How about some fiscal responsibility and stop taxing the hell out of the citizens? There is a reason TABOR passed.
F	65+	Dem	3	Garfield	Probably Yes	Probably No	Would hurt local govt. property tax relief is not enough, and voters don't get final say in property taxes final distribution Can be changed with the next political party causing chaos.
M	45-54	Unaf	2	Grand	Probably Yes	Probably No	Government needs to learn how to spend less money! As a small business owner I pay 60 cents out of every dollar earned to some form of tax. Government needs to make decisions like I do in how to operate and stay relevant with a much smaller budget.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
							The land I own is mine, not yours. Increasing taxes above 5.5% is already illegal but it's being done without my consent in my county already. There is a 205% increase for my property here in FY2023.. and I didn't get to vote on that! Many people I know in the valley are having to sell off their land in order to pay your ridiculous tax hikes! You are driving out property owners. If someone can't make rent, that's on them.. why do I have to pay their portion? I don't want my tax money paying for someone who didn't do the work like I did. That's injustice! You need another proposition. How about lower property taxes to make it more attractive to live in this state? Oh wait isn't it this year that it's a first for Colorado to see a decline in residence??And I may be next with these increases. Think outside of the front range fellas!
M	35-44	Unaf	3	Gunnison	Probably Yes	Probably No	
M	18-34	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably Yes	Probably No	Not enough saving as an individual.
F	55-64	Unaf	6	Jefferson	Probably Yes	Probably No	Leave Tabor alone. Would rather see government spending cuts to address shortages.
F	45-54	Dem	7	Jefferson	Probably Yes	Probably No	I hate Tabor and wish it would be repealed. However, if I am getting a refund, I want it commensurate with what I paid in taxes. Which is a lot. The flat rate, or lesser rate for higher incomes really irks me. I am also unsure about the idea of city representatives deciding how to use the surplus. I need to hear more about it before I decide for certain.
M	35-44	Dem	3	La Plata	Probably Yes	Probably No	Taxes should be raised on second homes, rental homes and out of state second home owners. They use our services and make income based on them, but pay much less when you consider they don't even live here so are not contributing otherwise to the local economy. Any proposal should not effectively I create our refunds but take money from these people who can more easily afford it.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	55-64	Unaf	2	Larimer	Probably Yes	Probably No	Individuals spend their money more wisely than government. I'm generally not in favor of giving government more money because I do not believe government uses the money they have as efficiently as desired. Given those options, I will almost always keep money in taxpayer hands as my default.
F	65+	Unaf	2	Larimer	Probably Yes	Probably No	Too complicated and I hate the idea of local govts making decisions vs voters.
M	35-44	Dem	2	Larimer	Probably Yes	Probably No	Schools need money.
M	45-54	Dem	2	Larimer	Probably Yes	Probably No	Seems overly complex which inevitably causes problems. If we want to modify TABOR we should just do that.
M	55-64	Rep	3	Mesa	Probably Yes	Probably No	It just dont sound like the working class would benefit from this
F	55-64	Dem	3	Mineral	Probably Yes	Probably No	Because there still isn't enough revenue to backfill. I would rather we continue to pay all prop taxes and allow the state to keep surplus
M	35-44	Rep	3	Montezuma	Probably Yes	Probably No	Too much government control over the use of funds.
M	65+	Rep	7	Park	Probably Yes	Probably No	They want to reduce property taxes (but not near enough)- then politicians vote on keeping any refund - not voters. Then they want to raise other taxes 1% to help make up for reduced property taxes...this government wants to steal as much of OUR money as possible and spend it irresponsibly - while trying to make it sound good for the people. We are sick of it...
F	55-64	Dem	3	Pueblo	Probably Yes	Probably No	Not sure yet, need to read more
M	45-54	Unaf	8	Weld	Probably Yes	Probably No	Either way more still comes out of my pocket and I am working 55 hours a week and I do not need more taxes any way it is put into law .
F	18-34	Dem	4	Weld	Probably Yes	Probably No	The position that is up to elected officials and not voters as well as the income based refund rather than flat rate
F	55-64	Rep	4	Weld	Probably Yes	Probably No	There are several reasons why I wouldn't vote for it. I don't believe that those in a higher tax bracket should be funding the tabor refunds for those who aren't paying as much into it. To me this is just another socialistic bill that's trying to be snuck past the voters as something "that will save them money" when in reality it's not

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Rep	4	Weld	Probably Yes	Probably No	A way to raise taxes and not control spending through the back door. Not following voters wishes with TABOR
M	45-54	Unaf	1	Denver	Definitely No	Probably No	TABOR distributions have not been equitably distributed and I plan to join the class action
M	65+	Rep	4	Douglas	Definitely No	Probably No	Do not trust governor or current leadership to do anything that benefits this state as shown by this bill being passed at the last minute
M	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Probably No	Enough taxes taken already
M	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Definitely No	Probably No	It will save a few bucks now but in the long run costs will continue to increase and we will be giving up our Tabor protections
M	55-64	Rep	7	Teller	Definitely No	Probably No	The language is extremely confusing and I think it was made this way on purpose so that most people would not understand it. It appears to me that we would have higher taxes for the end result.
F	65+	Unaf	1	Weld	Definitely No	Probably No	I'm a renter, shifting more tax burden to renters and off of landowners and corporations isn't my idea of by the people, for the people. Corporations and landowners should pay more taxes, not less.
M	55-64	Unaf	8	Adams	Probably No	Probably No	As a renter, there isn't enough to make an apartment owner adjust rent increases to accommodate the rise in rents. Not much applies to me.
F	18-34	Dem	8	Adams	Probably No	Probably No	I don't agree with the entire premise though I support certain aspects of it.
M	35-44	Dem	8	Adams	Probably No	Probably No	I disagree with TABOR. When the state collects excess revenue, it should save/invest it for rainy days, not give nearly meaningless refunds to taxpayers. We should be increasing taxes on the upper middle class and above, not cutting them.
M	35-44	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Probably No	Hidden agenda
M	45-54	Dem	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Probably No	The cost of living are out of control so we don't need other things.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	35-44	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Probably No	Colorado constantly reduces property taxes only to increase them in other ways like sales tax, or use fees etc.. in doing so, those that really need relief, like the large number of renters, are continuing to be left behind. Local sales taxes and other fees continue to rise, along with the cost of goods making it harder to afford to live comfortable. It would be better to raise the budget limit, maintain the current property taxes and understand the real budgets of local needs, rather than play games with numbers.
M	35-44	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Probably No	Why would I be excited for you law makers to figure out new ways to milk the tax payer. Pretending to save us money while all you are doing to shifting money so you still get yours while pretending to help us. Your inability to manage tax payer funds is disgusting, this would all be able to fixed with common sense budgeting and still get us better property taxes instead of the measly saving you are proposing with prop HH. I would cry myself to sleep every night if I did as bad of a job as you people, stealing money from hard working people and then squandering it on worthless programs that help no one except your public perception with a bunch of low info voters.
M	65+	Rep	6	Arapahoe	Probably No	Probably No	1. Govt. Officials could vote to keep surplus funds rather than put the vote to voters.
F	55-64	Unaf	3	Archuleta	Probably No	Probably No	Would not like the idea of local government being able to just decide to take monies. Need more relief for seniors and rental assistance. No relief for second home owners and short term rentals. Not enough rentals for people as it is
M	18-34	Unaf	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	I need more information on how this will impact school funding
F	35-44	Unaf	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	I think providing a flat refund for one year to get voters to vote for proposition HH is really shady. In the long run it won't save me money and I feel like it's a bribe to vote for something

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	45-54	Unaf	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	Colorado seems to be getting way more liberal than necessary. I don't trust future elected officials or the the people that seem to want them elected.
F	45-54	Unaf	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	Short term solution
F	35-44	Dem	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	Property taxes are not that high compared to other areas and services need to be funded. This does not allow for continued services at the same funded level.
F	45-54	Dem	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	Not sure. Need to look into it more.
M	65+	Dem	1	Denver	Probably No	Probably No	Horribly obfuscated statutory language. Too many ways for government officials to thwart features of provisions. Lump sum TABOR refunds are a better economic stimulus than potential property tax savings. The measure follows far too closely behind recent TABOR refunds to be credible. Renter benefits are useful ideas. Tax increases camouflaged by accounting or budget changes are disingenuous.
M	45-54	Unaf	5	El Paso	Probably No	Probably No	Too much government discretion. It feels like a way for government to get around TABOR
F	18-34	Dem	5	El Paso	Probably No	Probably No	I'm not a homeowner, I rent, and I don't want home owners to have any tax relief. Rents are as high as mortgages, most are higher, and I don't think I'll ever be able to buy a home so why would I vote for homeowners, who are generally older and have more money, to save money? No, they've had their whole lives to save and set themselves up well meanwhile my generation is told to take scraps. so no, I won't vote to lower homeowner taxes. eat the rich
F	55-64	Dem	5	El Paso	Probably No	Probably No	TABOR needs to be left alone.
M	45-54	Rep	5	El Paso	Probably No	Probably No	TABOR should not be viewed as a usable bucket of available funds.
M	65+	Unaf	7	Fremont	Probably No	Probably No	Too vague..and too easy for funds to get loose...
F	55-64	Dem	7	Fremont	Probably No	Probably No	I don't like that it is statutory
F	65+	Rep	3	Garfield	Probably No	Probably No	I dont think the state needs it
M	65+	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Probably No	I see it as a political money grab
M	65+	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Probably No	Tabor is here to stay hands off!

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35-44	Dem	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Probably No	I have seen waste, fraud and abuse at the local, state and federal levels. I do not feel that reducing the tax burden for private citizens and channeling revenue to bloated governments and officials is likely to have a sustainable and helpful impact. Rental assistance is not offered to middle income renters like me, and there are virtually no benefits to this proposition for me and my personal community. Without rental assistance and/or access to affordable housing, there is minimal likelihood that I could ever purchase a home in the metro area. School districts and individual schools are already not funded equitably, so any backfill identified would likely not go to programs that have the greatest need and provide greatest community impact and service.
M	45-54	Dem	6	Jefferson	Probably No	Probably No	Reducing property taxes will not impact our housing crisis, even though this bill is designed to make people think it will. It's a handout to those who already have homes while doing nothing to help those without homes. Also, fire and emergency services should come after other governmental needs, not first. They already receive a larger portion than they should. Fix the roads, public transit and schools first. This proposition is designed to enrich those who are already the wealthiest, so I will not support it.
F	18-34	Rep	7	Jefferson	Probably No	Probably No	Elected officials making decisions instead of voters, increased government overreach, increased spending
M	55-64	Unaf	4	Larimer	Probably No	Probably No	Don't trust democrats
M	18-34	Dem	4	Larimer	Probably No	Probably No	The core issue of housing / rental prices is not addressed, rather just another tax cut when many people can barely afford to live. A tax cut isn't going to change the dynamic.
M	55-64	Dem	2	Larimer	Probably No	Probably No	I love living in Colorado. Although I'm confident I'm in the minority, I understand it takes money to make and keep the state and local towns nice. I also don't want to see cuts to schools or teachers' pay even though I have no children in the education system here. I don't mind paying a little more to live in Colorado and keep it beautiful.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	65+	Unaf	3	Mesa	Probably No	Probably No	We need to get rid of Tabor instead of working around it..
F	45-54	Unaf	3	Montrose	Probably No	Probably No	Property tax assessments are inappropriate. Already collected tax not being spent appropriately. Do not trust officials to have our best interest in their decisions. Housing market is hugely inflated and owns are over taxed. We need a reduction period. And better spending by our officials.
F	35-44	Rep	3	Montrose	Probably No	Probably No	The state and it's government already makes terrible decisions, there is no reason to give them more money and "power".
F	65+	Rep	3	Montrose	Probably No	Probably No	Don't trust this governor to actually use the savings to help seniors! The government would find another way or use for the finds!
F	18-34	Unaf	8	Weld	Probably No	Probably No	My father is a fireman. His district would most likely stand to lose funding if Proposition HH passes, even with the proposed backfilling measure.
O	18-34	Dem	8	Weld	Probably No	Probably No	In general property tax relief affects those with higher incomes while, at best, leaving those with lower incomes unaffected, and at worst, requiring those with lower incomes to pick up the slack via increases in other forms of taxation. * The amount of `renter assistance` included is insultingly low, but will still allow political leverage against approving additional releif, either as a ballot measure or in the legislature. * This ballot measure, as presented here, will grant some reduced tax burden to rental properties. That it does this at all borders on unacceptable to me.
M	55-64	Rep	8	Weld	Probably No	Probably No	I am uncomfortable making any changes due to to uncertainty of the balance between the rich and the rest of us. We need higher taxes on the rich, not reducing their tax load. As far as I am concerned anyone who owns a \$500,000 home is rich.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	35-44	Unaf	6	Adams	Undecided	Probably No	This really wouldn't help individuals. 20 million is not enough to help with the rent crisis or even assist with people being able to buy affordable homes. There was no discussion about how this will affect schools. The fact that people are able to buy multiple homes when we have more unhoused than ever before shows this ballot is out of touch with what is needing to be done. We need better and more affordable housing overall. Lowering property taxes does nothing to help those who are renting because we can't buy a home in this economy.
M	35-44	Unaf	8	Adams	Undecided	Probably No	Smaller government
F	18-34	Dem	8	Adams	Undecided	Probably No	More money to government instead of taxpayers, more compensation and relief for renters than homeowners
M	18-34	Oth	8	Adams	Undecided	Probably No	This seems like it could create loop holes for in the future.
M	35-44	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Undecided	Probably No	The measure sounds like it violates the single subject rule in our Constitution, it expands the homestead exemption, and it won't do enough to help people who really need it.
F	55-64	Unaf	6	Arapahoe	Undecided	Probably No	It seems to add more complexity to the taxes retained and given back, favoring home owners over non homeowners. I like the idea of keeping a bit more TABOR with the state for a rainy day fund and I like the concept of helping seniors who downsize. Not sure the difference in property tax is really worth the hassle. Colorad has the third lowest property tax rate in the country. Seems funds could be better directed .
M	18-34	Dem	6	Arapahoe	Undecided	Probably No	Less money coming back from tax refunds.
O	35-44	Oth	6	Arapahoe	Undecided	Probably No	Stop taking funding away from schools.
F	55-64	Unaf	2	Boulder	Undecided	Probably No	I'd rather everyone get a larger Tabor refund and decide how they want to use the money.

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
F	55-64	Dem	1	Denver	Undecided	Probably No	Because future legislatures would change it and then it wont be what we voted for in the beginning. Plus, im not sure this is fair to take tabor refunds from non-homeowners. Plus, the savings seems to be less than the tabor refund amount. Home owners could apply their tabor refund to the property tax they owe and the legislature cant change the refund. I vote no.
F	55-64	Dem	1	Denver	Undecided	Probably No	Colorado local governments need more money to provide much needed services
F	35-44	Unaf	5	El Paso	Undecided	Probably No	I dont like that residential and businesses benefit from it. I think that residential tax benefits should be addressed as a seperate issue the business benefits. I also worry that after the 10 years of relief, we will get hit by a much larger property tax as that relief expires.
M	35-44	Unaf	5	El Paso	Undecided	Probably No	I think you know why.
M	45-54	Dem	5	El Paso	Undecided	Probably No	I don't believe I've seen enough of the argument against Proposition HH. This seems to skew towards FOR the change and lacks the balance and nuance AGAINST it.
M	65+	Rep	5	El Paso	Undecided	Probably No	As stated allows to much opportunity for abuse by lawmakers
F	65+	Unaf	7	Jefferson	Undecided	Probably No	It seems like they are taking money from one of my pockets and putting in my other pocket. It is very confusing and too much. The state should reduce spending.
F	35-44	Unaf	4	Larimer	Undecided	Probably No	The only part of the whole thing I agree with is putting money back for more rent relief. Rich or wealthy people should be paying more in tax, not less and I want my full refund.
M	65+	Rep	8	Larimer	Undecided	Probably No	I prefer TABOR

Colorado Proposition HH Ballot Measure Survey

"Please describe why you would vote no and reject the ballot measure."

Sex	Age Range	Party	Cong. Dist.	County	Uninformed Ballot	Informed Ballot	Response
M	65+	Rep	4	Larimer	Undecided	Probably No	Prop HH Is a give a discount now and over the next 10 years increasing state government revenues every year for ten years. The government needs to get smaller and allow private charities to fill the gap. Give tax deductions for charitable gifts. The state and country governments will bring in more money with lower tax rates if you, encouraged the use of Colorado natural gas, oil and coal for energy. Solar and wind are a increasing cost of living in the state. Following California in energy and economic policies us a mistake, California's policies are killing the golden state.
M	35-44	Rep	3	Moffat	Undecided	Probably No	Small local govts need the money
M	55-64	Unaf	2	Summit	Undecided	Probably No	No way should local councils decide whether I get my refund dollars.
M	18-34	Oth	8	Weld	Undecided	Probably No	Seems overly complex, which makes it hard to evaluate and feels like it's meant to obfuscate something. It also feels like it's trying to benefit certain groups at the expense of others